J Exp Clin Med 2012;4(1):47-51



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Experimental and Clinical Medicine

journal homepage: http://www.jecm-online.com



The Correlations of the Six-minute Walk Test and Respiratory Functions in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients with Chronic Hypercapnia

Shiauyee Chen^{1,2}, Ying-Tai Wu³, Jiu-Jenq Lin³, Chun-Nin Lee^{2,4}, Cho-Yi Huang^{1,2}, Ling-Ling Chiang^{2,4*}

¹ Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei Medical University-Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

² School of Respiratory Therapy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

³ School and Graduate Institute of Physical Therapy, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

⁴ Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei County, Taiwan

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received: Jun 21, 2011 Accepted: Aug 9, 2011

KEY WORDS:

chronic hypercapnia; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; expiratory muscle strength; oxygen desaturation; six-minute walk test **Background:** Dyspnea and related disabling symptoms are common in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients with chronic hypercapnia. Unfortunately, the indicators during the six-minute walk test (6MWT) for prediction of respiratory functions or exercise intolerance in severe COPD has been little investigated. The relationship between parameters during the 6MWT and respiratory functions was therefore assessed in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia.

Journal of Experimental and Clinical

Medicine

Methods: In 2002 and 2003, 37 COPD outpatients with chronic hypercapnia performed the 6MWT, and their respiratory function was measured. Twenty-eight males and nine females with COPD (mean forced expiratory volume in the first second of 26.1% of the predicted value, SD 7.7%) and hypercapnia (mean PaCO₂ of 55.5 mmHg, SD 6.4 mmHg) were recruited. All patients were tested to measure pulmonary function, respiratory drive (airway occlusion pressure at 100 ms, P_{0.1}), and respiratory muscle strength on the first day. On the second day, arterial blood gas analysis and the 6MWT were performed. Pearson's correlation coefficient and regression analysis were used for data analysis.

Results: The study showed that the six-minute walk distance (6MWD) was weakly correlated with the resting arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO₂) (r = 0.349, p = 0.034), expiratory muscle strength (Pemax) (r = 0.358, p = 0.030), and changes of dyspnea sensation (Δ Borg) (r = 0.385, p = 0.019); furthermore, Δ Borg was weakly correlated with Pemax (r = 0.377, p = 0.021). The oxygen saturation measured at the end of the 6MWT (ExSpO₂) was significantly correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = -0.443, p = 0.006), pH (r = 0.375, p = 0.022), arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure (PaCO₂) (r = -0.470, p = 0.003), PaO₂ (r = 0.664, p = 0.000) and P_{0.1} (r = -0.344, p = 0.037). The results of the multiple linear regression with the 6MWD as the dependent variable revealed that PaO₂ Pemax, and Δ Borg were significant determinants of the 6MWD (p = 0.018, adjusted $R^2 = 0.259$).

Conclusion: Measurement of the 6MWT demonstrated that a stronger association of exercise limitation is the value of \triangle Borg in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia. Ventilation constraints, hypoxemia, hypercapnia, and respiratory drive might be associated with oxygen desaturation during the 6MWT in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia.

Copyright © 2011, Taipei Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Previous studies showed that hypercapnia in severe COPD patients is always associated with a reduced exercise capacity, and higher morbidity and mortality.^{1–3} Recently, the exercise capacity evaluated by the six-minute walk test (6MWT) was shown to be an independent outcome predictor to provide graded severity of this disease in addition to the forced expiratory volume in the first

E-mail: L.-L. Chiang <llchiang@tmu.edu.tw>

second (FEV₁).^{4–7} Furthermore, two prospective studies demonstrated that the six-minute walk distance (6MWD) is a better predictor of mortality than FEV₁ in patients with severe COPD.^{8,9}

The 6MWT can be easily performed, and associated parameters during 6MWT could provide important indicators for treatment. Besides walking distance, the degree of dyspnea, oxygen desaturation, and pulse rate, the four variables in the 6MWT, can be used to evaluate integrated responses of the pulmonary, cardiovascular, and muscular systems. It was recently found that the oxygen desaturation profile during walking improves the predictive ability of the 6MWT.^{10–12} However, little is known about the correlations of respiratory functions and these parameters during the 6MWT in severe COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia.

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Respiratory Therapy, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wu-Hsing Street, Taipei City 110, Taiwan.

^{1878-3317/\$ -} see front matter Copyright © 2011, Taipei Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jecm.2011.11.008

A study by Simard et al. demonstrated that patients with deteriorated lung function remained normocaphic at rest by increasing minute ventilation. While those who did not increase their ventilation developed chronic hypercapnia at rest during the 2-4-year follow-up period and were also associated with severe exercise limitations. But the relationships between the arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure (PaCO₂), arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO₂), and forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV₁) are weak.¹³ An excessive load on respiratory muscles and a decreased central inspiratory drive were also proposed for those severe patients.^{14–16} Thus we hypothesized that those respiratory functions may contribute to strong exercise limitations in severe COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia, and the 6MWT may provide clinically relevant implications with increased predictive ability. Therefore, in this study, we measured respiratory functions and the 6MWT in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia to: (1) investigate the relationships of four domains of respiratory functions (pulmonary function, arterial blood gas, respiratory muscle strength, and respiratory drive) with walking distance by 6MWT; (2) investigate the relationship of parameters during the 6MWT and respiratory function; and (3) analyze the predictors of walking distance in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia.

2. Methods

2.1. Design

This study used a cross-sectional, observational design. We followed the principles outlined in the *Helsinki Declaration*. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Participants

Thirty-seven patients with COPD and hypercapnia were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Taipei Medical University—Wan Fang Hospital from January 2002 to December 2003. Enrollment criteria were those with: (1) FEV₁ of <50% of the predicted value; (2) a daytime awake PaCO₂ of >45 mmHg,¹⁷ PaO₂ of <80 mmHg, and pH of 7.30–7.45 with room air; (3) medical stability in the preceding 3 months; (4) good motivation to participate in the study; and (5) have not received pulmonary rehabilitation programs. Exclusion criteria were those: (1) who could not perform the 6MWT due to various other diseases (such as orthopedic or neuromuscular problems or other systemic diseases); and (2) who were uncooperative or poorly motivated to participate.

2.3. Measurements

Patients were asked not to use oxygen for 4 h or a bronchodilator for 8 h before the tests. The measured items included a pulmonary function test, arterial blood gas, the 6MWT, respiratory muscle strength, and respiratory drive.

We measured four parameters of the pulmonary function test, including forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁) with a portable spirometer (Spiro analyzer ST 250, Fukuda, Sangyo, Japan) according to recommendations of the American Thoracic Society.¹⁸

During measurements of respiratory drive and muscle strength, the mouthpiece was connected to a pneumotach with an electronically controlled magnetic shutter valve (Erich Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany). The shutter was activated at end-expiration in irregular intervals during measurement. At the end of expiration, the shutter was automatically set. After 100 ms, we measured the inspiratory mouth pressure ($P_{0.1}$) when the patient attempted to inhale.¹⁹ The mouth occlusion pressure ($P_{0.1}$) was expressed as an

absolute value (cmH₂O). The P_{0.1} data were obtained from the mean calculated value of the last 10 breaths. We measured subjects' mouth occlusion pressure at maximum inspiratory (Pimax) and maximum expiration (Pemax) with a spirometer-Master Screen PFT (Erich Jaeger) when the patient was seated. Pimax was measured when the patient maximally inspired from the residual volume, whereas Pemax was recorded as a subject's maximum expiratory effort from the total lung capacity. The shutter was closed and the pressure was measured automatically as soon as the patient began to inhale. Procedures were repeated until three measurements with variability of <5% were acquired. We used the highest value obtained in the data analysis.

On the second day, arterial blood samples were taken at rest while the patients were breathing room air. An arterial blood gas analysis was performed using a gas analyzer (Corning 278 Blood Gas Analyzer, Ciba-Corning Diagnostics, MA, USA). Then the patients completed two 6MWTs per assessment, 1 h apart, following recommendations of the American Thoracic Society Statement.⁴ To exclude a learning effect, all subjects were allowed to practice the 6MWT for 1 week before the study.

Patients performed the 6MWT in a 24 m corridor. We encouraged subjects every minute with two phrases: "You are doing well" and "Keep up the good work." They were allowed to stop and rest during the test but were instructed to resume walking as soon as they felt able to continue. Supplemental oxygen was provided to maintain SpO₂ at >90% if needed. We used the higher value of the two walking tests for analysis to minimize training effects.

We used a pulse oximeter (3301, BCI International, WI, USA) for real data on the pulse rate (PR) and oxygen saturation (SpO₂) measurements per 6 s, and the data were continuously printed with time. The modified Borg scale was evaluated at rest and after the test (expressed as RBorg and ExBorg).²⁰ The verbal descriptors in the original 10-point Borg scale had been carefully translated into Chinese.²⁰ Patients were instructed to quantify the intensity of breathlessness at rest and immediately at the end of walking. The RPR and RSpO₂ represent PR and SpO₂ after 5 min of complete rest, while ExPR and ExSpO₂ represent PR and SpO₂ immediately at the end of the 6MWT. Physiological changes after the 6MWT in the PR (Δ PR), SpO₂ (Δ SpO₂), and Borg scale (Δ Borg) were calculated as "end of exercise" minus resting values.

2.4. Data analysis

The Pearson correlation coefficient and Spearman rank correlation coefficient were calculated to examine the correlation between the 6MWT (distance, Δ Borg scale, Δ SpO₂, Δ PR, ExBorg , ExSpO₂ and ExPR) and four domains of respiratory function parameters (pulmonary function, arterial blood gas, respiratory muscle strength, and respiratory drive). Stepwise multiple linear regression analyses were further used to identify variables of respiratory functions that could best predict the walking performance. Respiratory function parameters which were correlated with the 6MWT were included in the stepwise multiple linear regression as independent variables. Statistical significance was accepted at a *p* value of <0.05.

3. Results

Table 1 summarizes patients' basic data of anthropometrics, pulmonary function, arterial blood gas, respiratory muscle, respiratory drive, and parameters during the six-minute walk test. The mean FEV₁/FVC ratio was 50.9% (SD 12.7%) in COPD patients with a mean FEV₁ of 0.6 L (SD 0.2 L) at 26.1% of the predicted value (SD 7.7%), and daytime hypercapnia with a mean PaCO₂ of 55.5 mmHg

Six-minute walk test and COPD

 Table 1
 Patients' basic characteristics, respiratory functions and parameters during the six-minute walk test

ParameterMean \pm SD ($N = 37$)RangeAge (y) 64.4 ± 10.9 $39-81$ Gender M:F $28:9(76\%:24\%)$ Weight (kg) 54.6 ± 13.4 $32-83$ Height (cm) 159.0 ± 8.1 $137-173$ Body-mass index (kg/m ²) 21.4 ± 4.8 $13.30-34.67$ Pulmonary function test $FVC (L)$ 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC $\%$ of predicted 41.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV $_1(L)$ 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FEV $_1\%$ of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV $_1/FVC (\%)$ 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gaspH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCO ₂ (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strengthPimax (cmH ₂ O) 43.8 ± 18.1 $15.9-81.5$ Pemax (cmH ₂ O) 5.6 ± 2.6 $0.4-11.5$ Parameters during walk6MWD (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RSpO ₂ (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ $\Delta SpO2 (%)-12.4 \pm 5.8-293RPR (beat/min)103.8 \pm 10.388-120ExPR (beat/min)129.2 \pm 14.1105-173\Delta PR (beat/min)25.4 \pm 14.73-59$	the six minute waik test		
Gender M:F28:9 (76%:24%)Weight (kg) 54.6 ± 13.4 $32-83$ Height (cm) 159.0 ± 8.1 $137-173$ Body-mass index (kg/m ²) 21.4 ± 4.8 $13.30-34.67$ Pulmonary function test $FVC (L)$ 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC % of predicted 41.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV, (L) 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FVV (%) $fev (a) = 0.6 \pm 0.2$ $0.2-1.0$ FEV, % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV, fVC (%) 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gas pH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCO ₂ (mmHg) 55.5 ± 6.4 $47.2-72.4$ PaO ₂ (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strength $pimax (cmH_2O)$ 67.6 ± 23.3 Penax (cmH_2O) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Respiratory drive $P_{0.1}(cmH_2O)$ 5.6 ± 2.6 $0.4-11.5$ Parameters during walk $6MWD (m)$ 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RSpO ₂ (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ ΔSpO_2 (%) -72.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	Parameter	Mean \pm SD ($N = 37$)	Range
Weight (kg) 54.6 ± 13.4 $32-83$ Height (cm) 159.0 ± 8.1 $137-173$ Body-mass index (kg/m ²) 21.4 ± 4.8 $13.30-34.67$ Pulmonary function test $FVC (L)$ 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC % of predicted 41.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV1 (L) 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FEV1 % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV1/FVC (%) 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gas PH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCo2 (mmHg) 55.5 ± 6.4 $47.2-72.4$ PaO2 (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strength $P_{max} (cmH_2O)$ 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Parameters during walk $GMWD (m)$ 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ $ExBorg$ 2.8 ± 1.1 $6MWD (m)$ 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RSpO2 (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ $\Delta SpO_2 (%)$ -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	Age (y)	64.4 ± 10.9	39-81
Height (cm)159.0 \pm 8.1137–173Body-mass index (kg/m²)21.4 \pm 4.813.30–34.67Pulmonary function testFVC (L)1.2 \pm 0.50.5–2.0FVC % of predicted41.4 \pm 17.114–105FEV1 (L)0.6 \pm 0.20.2–1.0FEV1 % of predicted26.1 \pm 7.79.0–48.0FEV1/FVC (%)50.9 \pm 12.731.0–70.6Arterial blood gaspH7.4 \pm 0.047.3–7.5PaCO2 (mmHg)55.5 \pm 6.447.2–72.4PaO2 (mmHg)52.1 \pm 9.530.1–70.6HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)33.8 \pm 3.828.0–44.7BE (meq/L)7.7 \pm 3.52.5–17.6Respiratory muscle strengthPimax (cmH20)67.6 \pm 23.326.9–119.7Respiratory drivePo.1(cmH20)5.6 \pm 2.60.4–11.5Parameters during walk6MWD (m)283.7 \pm 113.036–456RBorg2.8 \pm 1.11–5ExBorg5.8 \pm 1.34–8 $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 \pm 1.11–5RSpO2 (%)73.6 \pm 10.449–92 $\Delta SpO2 (%)$ -12.4 \pm 5.8-29 – -3RPR (beat/min)103.8 \pm 10.388–120ExPR (beat/min)129.2 \pm 14.1105–173	Gender M:F	28:9 (76%:24%)	
Body-mass index (kg/m²) 21.4 ± 4.8 $13.30-34.67$ Pulmonary function testFVC (L) 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC % of predicted 41.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV1 (L) 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FEV1 % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV1/FVC (%) 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gas pH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCO2 (mmHg) 55.5 ± 6.4 $47.2-72.4$ PaO2 (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strength P_{max} (cmH ₂ O) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Parameters during walk $6MWD$ (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ Rborg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RspO2 (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ ΔSpO_2 (%) -72.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	Weight (kg)	54.6 ± 13.4	32-83
Pulmonary function test FVC (L) 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC (L) 1.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV ₁ (L) 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FEV ₁ % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV ₁ /FVC (%) 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gas pH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCO ₂ (mmHg) 55.5 ± 6.4 $47.2-72.4$ PaO ₂ (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strength Pinax (cmH ₂ O) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Respiratory drive Po.1(cmH ₂ O) 5.6 ± 2.6 $0.4-11.5$ Parameters during walk 6MWD (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExSpO ₂ (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $9-92$	Height (cm)	159.0 ± 8.1	137-173
FVC (L) 1.2 ± 0.5 $0.5-2.0$ FVC % of predicted 41.4 ± 17.1 $14-105$ FEV, (L) 0.6 ± 0.2 $0.2-1.0$ FEV, % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV, % of predicted 26.1 ± 7.7 $9.0-48.0$ FEV, /FVC (%) 50.9 ± 12.7 $31.0-70.6$ Arterial blood gaspH 7.4 ± 0.04 $7.3-7.5$ PaCO ₂ (mmHg) 55.5 ± 6.4 $47.2-72.4$ PaO ₂ (mmHg) 52.1 ± 9.5 $30.1-70.6$ HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L) 33.8 ± 3.8 $28.0-44.7$ BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strengthPimax (cmH ₂ O) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Parameters during walk6MWD (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ RBorg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RSpO ₂ (%) 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ ΔSpO_2 (%) -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	Body-mass index (kg/m ²)	21.4 ± 4.8	13.30-34.67
FVC \hat{x} of predicted41.4 ± 17.114–105FEV1 (L)0.6 ± 0.20.2–1.0FEV1 \hat{x} of predicted26.1 ± 7.79.0–48.0FEV1 (FVC (\hat{x})50.9 ± 12.731.0–70.6Arterial blood gaspH7.4 ± 0.047.3–7.5PaCO2 (mmHg)55.5 ± 6.447.2–72.4PaO2 (mmHg)52.1 ± 9.530.1–70.6HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)33.8 ± 3.828.0–44.7BE (meq/L)7.7 ± 3.52.5–17.6Respiratory muscle strengthPimax (cmH20)67.6 ± 23.326.9–119.7Parameters during walk6MWD (m)283.7 ± 113.036–456RBorg2.8 ± 1.11–5ExBorg5.8 ± 1.34–8 $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.11–5RSpO2 (\hat{x})73.6 ± 10.449–92 ΔSpO_2 (\hat{x})-12.4 ± 5.8-29 – -3RPR (beat/min)103.8 ± 10.388–120ExPR (beat/min)129.2 ± 14.1105–173	Pulmonary function test		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FVC (L)	1.2 ± 0.5	0.5-2.0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FEV_1 & \text{of predicted} & 26.1 \pm 7.7 & 9.0-48.0 \\ FEV_1/FVC (\%) & 50.9 \pm 12.7 & 31.0-70.6 \end{array}$	FVC % of predicted	41.4 ± 17.1	14-105
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FEV_1/FVC(\%) & 50.9 \pm 12.7 & 31.0-70.6 \\ \hline \mbox{Arterial blood gas} & & & & & & & \\ pH & 7.4 \pm 0.04 & 7.3-7.5 \\ PaCO_2 (mmHg) & 55.5 \pm 6.4 & 47.2-72.4 \\ PaO_2 (mmHg) & 52.1 \pm 9.5 & 30.1-70.6 \\ HCO3^- (meq/L) & 33.8 \pm 3.8 & 28.0-44.7 \\ BE (meq/L) & 7.7 \pm 3.5 & 2.5-17.6 \\ \hline \mbox{Respiratory muscle strength} & & & & \\ Pimax (cmH_2O) & 43.8 \pm 18.1 & 15.9-81.5 \\ Pemax (cmH_2O) & 67.6 \pm 23.3 & 26.9-119.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Respiratory drive} & & & & \\ P_{0.1}(cmH_2O) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \mbox{Parameters during walk} & & & & \\ 6MWD (m) & 283.7 \pm 113.0 & 36-456 \\ RBorg & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \hline \end{array}$	FEV_1 (L)	0.6 ± 0.2	0.2-1.0
Arterial blood gaspH7.4 \pm 0.047.3–7.5PaCo2 (mmHg)55.5 \pm 6.447.2–72.4PaO2 (mmHg)52.1 \pm 9.530.1–70.6HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)33.8 \pm 3.828.0–44.7BE (meq/L)7.7 \pm 3.52.5–17.6 Respiratory muscle strength Pimax (cmH ₂ O)43.8 \pm 18.115.9–81.5Pemax (cmH ₂ O)67.6 \pm 23.326.9–119.7 Respiratory drive Po.1(cmH ₂ O)5.6 \pm 2.60.4–11.5 Parameters during walk 6MWD (m)283.7 \pm 113.036–456RBorg2.8 \pm 1.11–5ExBorg5.8 \pm 1.34–8 $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 \pm 1.11–5RSpO2 (%)86.0 \pm 6.566–95ExSpO2 (%)73.6 \pm 10.449–92 ΔSpO_2 (%) -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min)103.8 \pm 10.388–120ExPR (beat/min)129.2 \pm 14.1105–173	FEV ₁ % of predicted	26.1 ± 7.7	9.0-48.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	50.9 ± 12.7	31.0-70.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Arterial blood gas		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	pH	7.4 ± 0.04	7.3-7.5
$\begin{array}{cccc} HCO^{-} (meq/L) & 33.8 \pm 3.8 & 28.0-44.7 \\ BE (meq/L) & 7.7 \pm 3.5 & 2.5-17.6 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} \textbf{Respiratory muscle strength} & & & & \\ Pimax (mH_2O) & 43.8 \pm 18.1 & 15.9-81.5 \\ Pemax (cmH_2O) & 67.6 \pm 23.3 & 26.9-119.7 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \textbf{Respiratory drive} & & & & \\ P_{0.1}(cmH_2O) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \textbf{Parameters during walk} & & & \\ 6MWD (m) & 283.7 \pm 113.0 & 36-456 \\ RBorg & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \hline \end{array}$	PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	55.5 ± 6.4	47.2-72.4
BE (meq/L) 7.7 ± 3.5 $2.5-17.6$ Respiratory muscle strength $15.9-81.5$ Pimax (cmH20) 43.8 ± 18.1 $15.9-81.5$ Pemax (cmH20) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Respiratory drive 20.1 (cmH20) 5.6 ± 2.6 $0.4-11.5$ Parameters during walk $6MWD$ (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ Rborg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RspO ₂ (%) 86.0 ± 6.5 $66-95$ ExSpO ₂ (%) -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	PaO ₂ (mmHg)	52.1 ± 9.5	30.1-70.6
Respiratory muscle strength Pimax (cmH ₂ O) 43.8 ± 18.1 $15.9-81.5$ Pemax (cmH ₂ O) 67.6 ± 23.3 $26.9-119.7$ Respiratory drive $P_{0.1}(cmH_2O)$ 5.6 ± 2.6 $0.4-11.5$ Parameters during walk $6MWD$ (m) 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ Rborg 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ ExBorg 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ RSpO ₂ (%) 86.0 ± 6.5 $66-95$ ExSpO ₂ (%) -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)	33.8 ± 3.8	28.0-44.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Pimax \ (cmH_2O) & 43.8 \pm 18.1 & 15.9-81.5 \\ Pemax \ (cmH_2O) & 67.6 \pm 23.3 & 26.9-119.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Respiratory drive} & & & & & \\ P_{0.1}(cmH_2O) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \mbox{Parameters during walk} & & & & & \\ 6MWD \ (m) & 283.7 \pm 113.0 & 36-456 \\ RBorg & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 \ (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 \ (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 \ (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR \ (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR \ (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \hline \end{array}$	BE (meq/L)	7.7 ± 3.5	2.5-17.6
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Pemax} (cmH_20) & 67.6 \pm 23.3 & 26.9-119.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Respiratory drive} & & & & & \\ \mbox{P}_{0.1} (cmH_20) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \mbox{Parameters during walk} & & & & & \\ \mbox{6MWD} (m) & 283.7 \pm 113.0 & 36-456 \\ \mbox{Rborg} & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ \mbox{ExBorg} & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \mbox{\Delta Borg} & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ \mbox{RspO}_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ \mbox{ExSpO}_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \mbox{\Delta SpO}_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ \mbox{RPR} (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ \mbox{ExPR} (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Respiratory muscle strength		
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Respiratory drive} \\ P_{0,1}(cmH_2O) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \textbf{Parameters during walk} \\ 6MWD (m) & 283.7 \pm 113.0 & 36-456 \\ RBorg & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Pimax (cmH ₂ O)	43.8 ± 18.1	15.9-81.5
$\begin{array}{c} P_{0.1}(cmH_2O) & 5.6 \pm 2.6 & 0.4-11.5 \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Pemax (cmH ₂ O)	67.6 ± 23.3	26.9-119.7
Parameters during walk $6MWD (m)$ 283.7 ± 113.0 $36-456$ $RBorg$ 2.8 ± 1.1 $1-5$ $ExBorg$ 5.8 ± 1.3 $4-8$ $\Delta Borg$ 2.9 ± 1.1 $1-5$ $RSpO_2 (\%)$ 86.0 ± 6.5 $66-95$ $ExSpO_2 (\%)$ 73.6 ± 10.4 $49-92$ $\Delta SpO_2 (\%)$ -12.4 ± 5.8 -293 RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 $88-120$ $ExPR (beat/min)$ 129.2 ± 14.1 $105-173$	Respiratory drive		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$P_{0.1}(cmH_2O)$	5.6 ± 2.6	0.4-11.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} RBorg & 2.8 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \end{array}$	Parameters during walk		
$ \begin{array}{lll} ExBorg & 5.8 \pm 1.3 & 4-8 \\ \Delta Borg & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \end{array} $	6MWD (m)	283.7 ± 113.0	36-456
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \Delta B org & 2.9 \pm 1.1 & 1-5 \\ RSpO_2 (\%) & 86.0 \pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2 (\%) & 73.6 \pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2 (\%) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR (beat/min) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR (beat/min) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105-173 \\ \end{array} $	RBorg	2.8 ± 1.1	1-5
$\begin{array}{cccc} RSpO_2(\%) & 86.0\pm 6.5 & 66-95 \\ ExSpO_2(\%) & 73.6\pm 10.4 & 49-92 \\ \Delta SpO_2(\%) & -12.4\pm 5.8 & -293 \\ RPR(beat/min) & 103.8\pm 10.3 & 88-120 \\ ExPR(beat/min) & 129.2\pm 14.1 & 105-173 \end{array}$	ExBorg	5.8 ± 1.3	4-8
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		2.9 ± 1.1	1-5
$ \begin{split} & \Delta SpO_2 \left(\% \right) & -12.4 \pm 5.8 & -293 \\ & RPR \left(\text{beat/min} \right) & 103.8 \pm 10.3 & 88 - 120 \\ & ExPR \left(\text{beat/min} \right) & 129.2 \pm 14.1 & 105 - 173 \end{split} $		86.0 ± 6.5	66-95
RPR (beat/min) 103.8 ± 10.3 88-120 ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 105-173	ExSpO ₂ (%)	73.6 ± 10.4	49-92
ExPR (beat/min) 129.2 ± 14.1 105–173			
ΔPR (beat/min) 25.4 \pm 14.7 3–59			
	∆PR (beat/min)	25.4 ± 14.7	3-59

BE = base excess; ExBorg = Borg score at the end of the 6MWT; ExPR = heart rate measured at the end of the 6MWT. ExSpO2 = oxygen saturation measured at the end of the 6MWT; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC = forced vital capacity; HCO₃ = arterial bicarbonate; $P_{0,1}$ = airway occlusion pressure at 100 ms; PaCO₂ = arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure; PaO₂ = arterial oxygen partial pressure; Pemax = maximum expiratory pressure; Pimax = maximum inspiratory pressure; RBorg = resting Borg score; RPR = resting heart rate; RSpO₂ = resting oxygen saturation. Changes after exercise of Borg scale, SpO₂, and PR are, respectively, represented by Δ Borg, Δ SpO₂, and Δ PR.

(SD 6.4 mmHg). Patients could walk an average of 283.7 m (SD 113.0 m).

Table 2 shows that the 6MWD was weakly correlated with resting: PaO₂ (r = 0.349, p = 0.034), the maximum expiratory pressure (r = 0.358, p = 0.030) and \triangle Borg (r = 0.385, p = 0.019) in COPD patients with hypercapnia. As shown in Table 3, \triangle Borg was weakly correlated with Pemax (r = 0.377, p = 0.021), and \triangle SpO₂ was correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = -0.358, p = 0.030) and PaO₂ (r = 0.509, p = 0.001). ExSpO₂ was significantly correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = -0.375, p = 0.022), PaCO₂ (r = -0.470, p = 0.003), PaO₂ (r = 0.664, p = 0.000), and P_{0.1} (r = -0.344, p = 0.037).

The three significant variables, PaO₂, Pemax, and \triangle Borg were included in the stepwise multiple linear regressions with the 6MWD (Table 4). PaO₂, Pemax, and \triangle Borg were significant determinants of the 6MWD (p = 0.018, adjusted $R^2 = 0.259$). This explained 25.9% of the variance in the 6MWD.

4. Discussion

The average 6MWD in our patients with hypercapnic COPD was 283.7 m. We found that the \triangle Borg score during the 6MWT was positively correlated with both the 6MWD and Pemax. This

Table	2	Correlations	of	the	six-min	walk	dist	ance
		(6MWD) v	vith	resp	oiratory	functio	ons	and
		parameters during the six-minute walk test						

	6MWD
Pulmonary function test	
FVC (L)	0.157
FEV1 (L)	0.175
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	-0.092
Gas exchange	
pH	-0.018
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	-0.210
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	0.349*
HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)	-0.194
BE (meq/L)	-0.145
Respiratory muscle strength	
Pimax (cmH ₂ O)	0.275
Pemax (cmH ₂ O)	0.358*
Respiratory drive	
P _{0.1}	-0.222
Parameters during walking	
∆Borg	0.385*
ΔSpO_2	0.118
∆PR	0.254
ExBorg	0.014
ExSpO ₂	0.263
ExPR	0.104

BE = base excess; ExBorg = Borg score at the end of the 6MWT; ExPR = heart rate measured at the end of the 6MWT; ExSpO₂ = oxygen saturation measured at the end of 6MWT; EtV₁ = forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC = forced vital capacity; HCO₃⁻ = arterial bicarbonate; P_{0.1} = airway occlusion pressure at 100 ms; PaCO₂ = arterial oxygen partial pressure; Pemax = maximum expiratory pressure; Pimax = maximum inspiratory pressure. Changes after exercise in the Borg scale, SpO₂, and PR are, respectively, represented by Δ Borg, Δ SpO₂, and Δ PR.

* *p* < 0.05.

* p < 0.05.

 Table 3 Correlations between parameters during the six-minute walk test and respiratory function

	∆Borg	ΔSpO_2	ΔPR	ExBorg	ExSpO ₂	ExPR	
Pulmonary function	Pulmonary function test						
FVC (L)	0.220	0.168	0.007	-0.115	0.263	-0.031	
$FEV_1(L)$	0.239	-0.087	0.120	-0.194	-0.052	-0.040	
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	-0.080	-0.358*	0.080	-0.106	-0.443*	0.003	
Gas exchange							
pH	0.140	0.184	-0.087	-0.056	0.375*	-0.087	
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	-0.17	0.186	-0.240	0.243	-0.470*	-0.178	
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	0.122	0.509*	0.129	-0.147	0.664*	0.024	
HCO3 ⁻ (meq/L)	-0.054	-0.133	-0.225	0.193	-0.256	-0.137	
BE (meq/L)	0.072	-0.061	-0.185	0.253	-0.127	-0.098	
Respiratory muscle strength							
Pimax (cmH ₂ O)	0.264	-0.099	0.196	0.090	0.079	0.139	
Pemax (cmH ₂ O)	0.377*	-0.060	0.069	0.192	0.053	0.061	
Respiratory drive							
P _{0.1}	0.105	-0.095	-0.319	0.280	-0.344^{*}	-0.253	

BE = base excess; ExBorg = Borg score at the end of the 6MWT; ExPR = heart rate measured at the end of the 6MWT; ExSpO₂ = oxygen saturation measured at the end of 6MWT; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC = forced vital capacity; HCO₃⁻ = arterial bicarbonate; P_{0.1} = airway occlusion pressure at 100 ms; PaCO₂ = arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure; PaO₂ = arterial oxygen partial pressure; Pemax = maximum expiratory pressure; Pimax = maximum inspiratory pressure.

Change after exercise in the Borg scale, SpO₂ and PR are, respectively, represented by Δ Borg, Δ SpO₂, and Δ PR.

Table 4 Stepwise multiple regression for the six-minute walk distance (6MWD)

Variable	Standardized β	t	p value	Adjusted R ²
Model 1			0.025*	0.136
∆Borg	0.369	2.347	0.025*	
Model 2			0.011*	0.234
∆Borg	0.336	2.228	0.033*	
PaO ₂	0.314	2.083	0.045*	
Model 3			0.018*	0.259
∆Borg	0.289	1.840	0.075	
PaO ₂	0.254	1.582	0.123	
Pemax	0.179	1.068	0.293	

 $\Delta Borg=change$ after exercise in the Borg scale; $PaO_2=arterial$ oxygen partial pressure; Pemax = maximum expiratory pressure.

* *p* < 0.05.

indicates that the CO₂ retention group reached almost severe dyspnic sensations (a Borg score of about 6 at the end of exercise) while walking, which makes it difficult to continue, so it seems that they recruited more expiratory muscles to reduce dynamic hyperinflation.²¹ Furthermore, a longer walking distance would also indicate a higher tolerance level of dyspnea change. In previous studies, strong correlations were found among dynamic hyperinflation, neuromechanical dissociation, and the Borg score during a symptom-limited incremental cycle ergometric test in patients with COPD.²² O'Donnell's study showed that the Δ end expiratory lung volume, Δ tidal volume, and Δ respiratory rate accounted for 61% of the variance in \triangle Borg.²³ We therefore assumed that patients with stronger expiratory muscles would be able to relieve dynamic hyperinflation (a limiting factor of exercise) thus being able to walk a longer distance, so a greater dyspnea sensation change would also be present.^{21–23}

As in previous studies, more expiratory muscle recruitment was found when the intensity of the dyspnic sensation rose to an intolerable level as greater dynamic hyperinflation occurred.²⁴ When ventilation increased during exercise, the functional residual capacity increased in COPD patients, in contrast to normal subjects who had increased inspiratory muscle activity. Consequently, this imposed an even-greater tonic load on inspiratory muscles, so a higher neural drive will necessitate the generation of lower tidal volumes. At the same time, the load on expiratory muscles disproportionately increased when ventilation increased. When this disproportionate increase in muscle load occurs, it can be a relevant factor in the sensation of dypnea. Severely hypercapnic COPD patients might blunt their response to CO₂ stimulation¹⁷; therefore, length-tension inappropriateness of respiratory muscles seems to override chemical inputs for dyspnea.²⁵ We therefore consider that more expiratory muscles were recruited, rather than inspiratory muscles, when a sense of higher breathlessness occurred during the 6MWT, to override the increasing mechanical load of hyperinflation. In addition, other studies have shown that CO₂ retention in stable hypercapnic COPD patients is mainly due to an inability of the lungs to increase ventilation, and not due to respiratory muscle dysfunction.²⁶

We found oxygen desaturation of 73.6% at the end of the 6MWT (ExSpO₂) in our study. Furthermore, the ExSpO₂ also showed correlations with FEV₁/FVC, pH, PaCO₂, PaO₂, and P_{0.1}, which suggested that patients with greater restrictive constraints, more acidosis, higher PaCO₂, lower PaO₂, and a higher respiratory drive were more responsive to greater oxygen desaturation during the walking test. Previous studies reported that arterial hypoxemia during exercise occurs in severe COPD as a result of a fall in mixed venous tension on low ventilation-perfusion lung units and shunting. The ability to increase lung perfusion and to distribute inspired ventilation during exercise is also compromised.²⁷ High physiological dead space in the setting of a blunted tidal volume

response to exercise in hyperinflated COPD further compromises CO₂ elimination and inspired ventilation. The derangements of blood gas, such as lower pH, higher PaCO₂, and less PaO₂, beyond critical levels will excessively stimulate ventilation, aggravate dynamic hyperinflation, and cause early ventilatory limitations to exercise.

It must be emphasized that, in this study, the chemical factors of pH. PaCO₂, and PaO₂, and the mechanical fators of FEV₁/FVC and P_{0.1}, contributed the ExSpO₂ during the walking test. The greater CO₂ retention of severe COPD patients, they would walk with more oxygen desaturation, an additional chemical stimulus, which might determine the sensation of dyspnea during the walking task, which in turn would induce exercise intolerance. Furthermore, during the 6MWT, the patients could self-adjust the walking speed, and the severe COPD patients usually took several breaks during the walking task. Therefore, the parameters of $ExSpO_2$, and not ΔSpO_2 , during the walking task might be more predictable for baseline arterial blood gas of severe COPD patients, as shown by the significant correlation of ExSpO2 with PaCO2, PaO2, and pH. We therefore agree that the 6MWT is sensitive for detecting oxygen desaturation in patients with COPD,²⁸ and that the 6MWT could provide clinicians a method of prediction of the baseline hypoxemia or hypercapnia level both noninvasively and safely.

In the present study, according to the stepwise multiple linear regression analyses, the PaO_2 , Pemax, and $\triangle Borg$ accounted for only 25.9% of the variance in the 6MWD. Due to the multifactorial aspect of exercise intolerance in severe COPD patients, there was weak prediction of exercise capacity by PaO_2 , Pemax and $\triangle Borg$ in our results; therefore, we suggest that other substantial factors in determining the exercise capacity of severe COPD patients with hypercapnia be further investigated.

This study had some limitations. First, the small sample size may have affected the power of the calculations. Second, this study lacked a control group for comparison. Third, COPD, a systemic disease, has severe impacts on physical function; therefore, skeletal muscle strength needs to be investigated further. Fourth, we did not measure the change of magnitude of hyperinflation after 6MWT, which is thought to be a stimulus of the dypnea sensation.

5. Conclusion

The resting PaO₂, expiratory muscle strength, and change in the dyspnea sensation during the 6MWT accounted for 25.9% of the variance in the 6MWD. Not only the FEV₁/FVC and P_{0.1} but also the hypoxemia and hypercapnia in severe COPD patients with chronic hypercapnia might be attributable to exercise-induced oxygen desaturation during the 6MWT.

References

- Kanner RI, Renzetti AP, Stanish WM, Barkman HW, Klauber MR. Predictor of survival in subjects with chronic airflow obstruction. *Am J Med* 1983;74: 249-55.
- Boushy SF, Thompson HK, North LB, Beale AR, Snow TR. Prognosis in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am Rev Respir Dis 1973;108:1373–82.
- Burrows B, Earle RH. Course and prognosis of obstructive lung disease. N Engl J Med 1969;280:397-404.
- American Thoracic Society. Guidelines for the six-minute walk test. Am J Respire Crit Care Med 2002;166:111–7.
- Celli BR, Cote C, Marin JM, Casanova C, Montes de Oca M, Mendez RA, Plata VP, et al. The body mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, exercise performance (BODE) index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**350**: 1005–12.
- Celli BR, MacNee W. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper. *Eur Respir J* 2004;23:932–46.
- Global Initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease [Internet]. Global Strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Updated 2006). Available from: http://www.goldcopd.org. [accessed 15.06.11].

Six-minute walk test and COPD

- Pinto-Plata VM, Cote C, Cabral H, Taylor J, Clli BR. The 6-min walk distance: change over time and value as a predictor of survival in severe COPD. *Eur Respir* J 2004;23:28–33.
- Martinez FJ, Foster G, Curtis JL, Criner G, Weinmann G, Fishman A, DeCamp MM, et al. NETT Research Group: predictors of mortality in patients with emphysema and severe airflow obstruction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;**173**:1326–34.
- Casanova C, Cote C, Marin JM, Pinto-plata V, Torres de JP, Aguirre-Jaime A, Vassaux C, et al. Distance and oxygen desaturation during the 6-min walk test as predictors of long-term mortality in patients with COPD. *Chest* 2008;**134**: 746–52.
- Takigawa N, Tada A, Soda R, Date H, Yamahita M, Endo S, Takahashi S, et al. Distance and oxygen desaturation in 6-min walk test predict prognosis in COPD patients. *Respire Med* 2007;**101**:561–7.
- 12. Garcia-Talavera I, Aguirre-Jaime A. COPD, Normoxia, and early desaturation. *Chest* 2009;**135**:885–6.
- Simard A-A, Maltais F, LeBlanc P. Functional outcome of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and exercise hypercapnia. *Eur Respir J* 1995;8: 1339–44.
- Jones NL, Edwards RHT. Exercise tolerance in chronic airway obstruction. Am Rev Respir Dis 1971;103:477–91.
- Sorli J, Grassino A, Lorange G, Milic-Emili J. Control of breathing in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease. *Clin Sci Mol Med* 1978;54:295–304.
- Lourenço RV, Miranda JM. Drive and performance of the ventilatory apparatus in chronic obstructive lung disease. N Engl J Med 1968;279:53–9.
- West JB. Obstructive disease. In: Pulmonary pathophysiology. The essentials. 6th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 2003. p. 52–69.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Crapo R, Enright P, et al. Standardisation of lung function testing. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–38.

- 19. Whitelaw WA. Derange JP airway occlusion pressure. J Appl Physiol 1993;74: 1475-83.
- Borg GAV. Psychophysical basis of perceived exertion. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1982;14:377–81.
- Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Gascon M, Sanchez A, Gallego BA, Celli BR. Inspiratory capacity, dynamic hyperinflation, breathlessness, and exercise performance during the 6-minute walk test in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;**163**:1395–9.
- O'Donnell DE, Bartley JC, Chau LK, Webb KA. Qualitative aspects of exertional breathlessness in chronic airflow limitation: pathophysiologic mechanism. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:109–15.
- O'Donnell DE, Webb KA. Exertional breathlessness in patients with chronic airflow limitation: the role of lung hyperinflation. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993;148: 1351-7.
- Ninane V, Yernault JC, De Troyer A. Intrinsic PEEP in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Role of expiratory muscles. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;**148**:1037–42.
- Cloosterman SGM, Hofland ID, van Schayck CP, Folgering H Th M. Exertional dyspnea in patients with airway obstruction, with and without CO₂ retention. *Thorax* 1998;53:768-74.
- Montes de Oca M, Celli BR. Respiratory muscle recruitment and exercise performance in eucapnic and hypercapnic severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;161:880–5.
- Dantzker DR, D'Alonzo GE. The effect of exercise on pulmonary gas exchange in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1986;**134**:1135–9.
- Poulain M, Durand F, Palomba B, Ceugniet F, Desplan J, Varray A, Pre'faut C. 6-minute walk testing is more sensitive than maximal incremental cycle testing for detecting oxygen desaturation in patients with COPD. *Chest* 2003; 123:1401–7.